

**PUBLICATION OF AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR CONSULTING SERVICES  
FOR PROJECTS FINANCED BY THE AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT BANK**

**INFORMATION NOTICE**

Publication date: **02<sup>nd</sup> December 2019**

Country: **ECOWAS, Benin, Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Nigeria & Togo**

Executing Agency: **ECOWAS COMMISSION**

Name of Project/Study: **Road Safety Audit of the Preliminary and Detailed Designs of the Abidjan- Lagos Corridor Highway**

Loan/Grant Number: **2100155033769 (ADF)**

Name of Request for Proposals (RFP): **Selection of a Consultant to conduct Road Safety Audit of the Preliminary and Detailed Designs of the Abidjan- Lagos Corridor Highway**

Selection Method: **Quality and Cost Based Selection (QCBS)**

Date of publication of EOI: **20 June 2017**

Date of publication of RFP: **05 September 2018**

Technical Proposals opening date: **24 May 2019**

Financial Proposals opening date: **16 August 2019**

Date of approval by the Bank of the draft negotiated Contract: **21 November 2019**

Name of Selected Consultant: **COWI A/S**

Nationality: **Denmark**

Address: **Havneparken 1, DK-7100 Vejle, Denmark**

Technical Score: **82**

Combined Score: **84.98**

Contract Award Price: **US\$725 732.5**

Contract Start date: **Two (2) Weeks after contract signature by both parties (the last signature being valid signature)**

Contract Duration: **Twenty-six and Half (26.5) months**

Summary of Scope of Contract Awarded:

- a) Hold a pre-audit meeting with the ECOWAS Commission and other stakeholders to review project information available. The pre-audit meeting is to set the context for the Road Safety Audit by bringing together the staff of the Infrastructure Department on the ECOWAS Commission , the PIU, the Design Consultants, and the Road Safety Auditor to discuss the scope, objective of the audit and review all information available.
- b) Compilation of available road design texts and standards applicable by each Corridor Country or recommended by ECOWAS;
- c) Assist with the harmonization and validation of highway standards to be applied in the design of the highway
- d) Review of Design Reports and Drawings to gain insight into the project, identify preliminary areas of safety concern and prepare for the field visit.
- e) Undertake a field visit and inspect the project site, identify/verify and establish a clear understanding of safety concerns including locations with pedestrian traffic in relation to the documents reviewed and ensure that these safety concerns are adequately addressed in the design documents.
- f) The Road Safety Auditor shall identify, analyze, prioritize and prepare Audit Reports for the three stages described below. Recommendations shall be made for reducing/eliminating identified risks. The

recommendations should clearly indicate level of risks associated with the various safety issues identified (e.g., low, medium or high) and prioritize according to established Safety Audit guidelines.

- Feasibility Phase: Audits at this stage should take due account of the overall design and function of the highway as well as its relationship with the immediate environment. Due care shall be exercised to ensure that highway standards and elements applied in the design of the highway meet international best practice.
  - Preliminary Design Phase: Further review of applicable standards, analysis of cross-sections, alignments, application of super-elevation, phasing of vertical and horizontal curves and verification of the layout of intersections shall be ensured. Additionally, the consultant shall review designs and reports to ensure that safety shortfalls are addressed in the preliminary design;
  - Detailed Design Phase: During this phase, the consultant will check to confirm the conformity of all the design elements and also ensure that the plans have taken into consideration all specifications regarding road safety.
- g) Power Point Presentations on Reports shall be made at various stages to the Client and stakeholders. The reports shall include pictures, videos, a map(s) and diagrams indicating location of identified risk(s) as may be considered useful to further illustrate points made. The feedback from the presentation would be incorporated into a final report.

**Total Number of Proposals Received: Six (6) Consortia/Firm were Shortlisted, and Five (5) Proposals were Received For each Consultant:**

**Consultant 2**

**Name: Consortium SPT SARL / ISO 2000 / FRED ENGINEERING**

**Nationality: ITALY**

**Address: 00181 Roma, Via Tuscolana 336, Roma, ITALY**

**Technical Points: 81**

**Evaluated Price: US\$724,500.00**

**Combined Points: 84.80**

**Ranking: 2<sup>nd</sup>**

**Consultant 3**

**Name: Consortium SMEC / ASSOCIATED CONSULTANTS LIMITED**

**Nationality: AUSTRALIA**

**Address: Level 10, 71 Queens Road Melbourne, VIC 3004, Australia. P. O. Box 6208, St Kilda Road Central, Vic 8008, Australia**

**Technical Points: 66**

**Evaluated Price: Not Applicable (Financial Proposal not opened)**

**Final Points: Not Applicable**

**Ranking: Not Applicable**

**Consultant 4**

**Name: ABLIN CONSULT ENGINEERS & PLANNERS LTD**

**Nationality: GHANA**

**Address: PO Box: GP 18280, Accra, Ghana**

**Technical Points: 52**

**Evaluated Price: Not Applicable (Financial Proposal not opened)**

**Final Points: Not Applicable**

**Ranking: Not Applicable**

**Consultant 5**

**Name: AURECON SOUTH AFRICA**

**Nationality: SOUTH AFRICA**

**Address: 4 Daventry St Aurecon Centre Lynnwood Bridge Office Park, Lynnwood Manor, Pretoria, 0081, South Africa**

**Technical Points: 39**

**Evaluated Price: Not Applicable (Financial Proposal not opened)**

**Final Points: Not Applicable**

**Ranking: Not Applicable**

***N.B.: Any consultant who wishes to ascertain the grounds on which its proposal was not selected, should request an explanation from the Executing Agency. In any event, the Bank reserves the right to review any complaint of a bidder at any time following the award.***